

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/772,574 02/05/2004 Ronald G. Thieman 70026260-0002-103 2255 30565 7590 12/09/2004 EXAMINER WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP DESAI, HEMANT BANK ONE CENTER/TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137 3721

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	<u> </u>	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/772,5	74	THIEMAN, RONALD G.		
		Examine	Examiner Art Un			
		Hemant N	Л Desai	3721		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no exon. , a reply within the staperiod will apply and w statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b blication to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
Status		ì				
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 February 20	<u>04</u> .			
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 84-86 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 84-86 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority documo ureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage	
Attachment	(s)				,	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	•	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ie)-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/772,574

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "at least a portion of the length of the fastener strips not being attached to the film" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMahon (6178722) in view of Porchia et al. (5950285).

McMahon discloses a method and apparatus for manufacturing a flexible recloseable container, comprising: providing a pair of interlockable fastener strips (24, 42, fig. 6), a web of flexible film (102, figs. 5-6), and a plurality of sliders (54, figs. 5-6) placing the plurality of sliders on the pair of interlockable fastener strips, and attaching the interlockable fastener strips to the web of flexible film after placing the plurality of sliders.

McMahon, as mentioned above, discloses all the claimed limitations, except for fusing together a portion of the fastener strip at a plurality of locations. However, Porchia et al. teach to fuse together a portion of the fastener strip at a plurality of locations (30, fig.1) form preventing slider from moving past the ends of the reclosable fastener strip (see col. 5, lines 16-18 and 38-40). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fuse together a portion of the fastener strip at a plurality of locations as taught by Porchia et al. in the method of McMahon for manufacturing a flexible recloseable container to prevent the slider from moving past the ends of the reclosable fastener strip.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,574

Art Unit: 3721

3. Claim 85 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al. (5405478) in view of Herrington, Jr. et al. (5067208).

Richardson et al. disclose an apparatus for attachment to a flexible, recloseable bag having a width, comprising a pair of interlockable fastener strips (11, fig. 1) having a length, and a plurality of sliders (10, fig. 1) coupled to the fastener strips, wherein the fastener strips are fused together at a plurality of positions (30. fig. 1) along the length, each fused position being spaced apart from adjacent said fused positions by about the width of a bag (see fig. 1).

Richardson et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the claimed limitations, except for the fastener strips being notched at plurality of positions.

However, Herrington, Jr. et al. teach the fastener strip (11, fig. 11) being notched (18a, 18b, 19a, 19b, fig. 11) to provide a leak-proof closure for the bag (see col. 6, lines 52-68; col. 7, lines 1-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the fastener strip being notched as taught by Herrington, Jr. et al. in the apparatus of Richardson et al. for attachment to a flexible, recloseable bag to provide a leak-proof closure for the bag.

4. Claim 86 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al. in view of Herrington, Jr. et al. as applied to claim 85 above, and further in view of Sullivan (4620320).

The apparatus of Richardson et al. as modified by Herrington, Jr. et al. meets all the claimed limitations except for at least a portion of the length of the fastener strips not being attached to the film.

Art Unit: 3721

However, Sullivan teaches that at least a portion of the length of the fastener strip, 14, fig. 1, not attached to the film (29, fig. 1) to provide connecting link between the adjacent bags in the production line and then is severed intermediate contiguous bags to separate the bags one from another (see col. 3, lines 2-5). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide at least a portion of the length of the fastener strips not being attached to the film as taught by Sullivan in the modified apparatus of Richardson et al. for attachment to flexible film for making a recloseable bag to provide connecting link between the adjacent bags in the production line and then is severed intermediate contiguous bags to separate the bags one from another.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-5: 30 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,574

Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hemant M Desai Examiner Art Unit 3721

HMD

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700